



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b>  <b>G01N 15/14</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 93/21511</b>  <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 28 October 1993 (28.10.93)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/GB93/00710 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 5 April 1993 (05.04.93)  <b>(30) Priority data:</b> 101552 8 April 1992 (08.04.92) IL  <b>(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US):</b> COMBACT IMAGING SYSTEMS, LTD. [IL/IL]; 8 Hamishna Street, 62 917 Tel Aviv (IL). RAMOT UNIVERSITY AUTHORITY FOR APPLIED RESEARCH AND INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, LTD. [IL/IL]; 32 Haim Levanon Street, Ramat Aviv, 69 975 Tel Aviv (IL). SCHORR-KON, Ben [GB/GB]; The Wood, 4C Millington Road, Cambridge (GB).		<b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) :</b> SCHORR, Amir [IL/IL]; 8 Hamishna Street, 62 917 Tel Aviv (IL). SAHAR, Elhanan [IL/IL]; 21 Bizaron Street, Tel Aviv (IL).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> ELKINGTON AND FIFE; Prospect House, 8 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 1XR (GB).  <b>(81) Designated States:</b> AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CH, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, LK, LU, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, US, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> DETECTION OF MICROORGANISMS AND DETERMINATION OF THEIR SENSITIVITY TO ANTIBIOTICS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  Microorganisms are distinguished from non-microorganismal objects in a sample by Computerized Image Analysis based on determining the special distribution of brightness throughout each of the objects. A particular application of the invention is determination of sensitivity of microorganisms to an antibiotic.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

## DETECTION OF MICROORGANISMS AND DETERMINATION OF THEIR SENSITIVITY TO ANTIBIOTICS

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is generally in the field of microbiology and more specifically concerns microbiological assays. In particular, the present invention provides a computerised image analysis method for detecting microorganisms in a biological sample. Furthermore, the present invention provides a computerised image analysis method for assaying the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotic drugs.

Also provided by the present invention is a system for carrying out the method of the invention.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION AND PRIOR ART

The prior art considered to be relevant as a background to the present invention is listed in the end of the description before the claims. These prior art references will be acknowledged by indicating in brackets their number in this list.

Microbiological tests have a wide range of applicability such as in medicine, in monitoring water quality, in assuring food safety etc. In clinical practice microbiological assays are of particular importance and have a major role in the diagnosis of infections and/or determination of a proper drug treatment in case of

infection. In such assays the presence of pathological microorganisms in clinical samples, their number, their identity as well as their sensitivity to antibiotic drugs are determined.

The most widely used microbiological assays involve incubating a biological sample on various growth media and determining the growth of microorganisms thereon (1). In order to determine sensitivity of the microorganisms to drug growth of the microorganisms is determined in the presence of the drug (2). Among the biggest drawbacks of such assays is the fact that they require a considerable time, usually 24 to 48 hours, and thus do not enable a rapid diagnosis which is required in cases where immediate treatment is necessary. Thus very often physicians are compelled to begin treatment without waiting for the clinical results which thus have only a confirmatory value. Consequently the treatment is not always appropriate and is also given at times to non-infected patients.

Additionally, such assays are labour consuming and require a considerable amount of laboratory space and this considerably limits the widespread use of such assays in screening of susceptible populations for the occurrence of infections. For example, urinary tract infections are not always accompanied by clinical symptoms (5) and elderly people in which asymptomatic infections may be dangerous (5,6,7), may not be routinely tested.

It may thus be readily understood that methods which do not involve prolonged incubation periods and in which the detection, identification and determination of the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotic drugs is thus rapid, would be highly desirable.

There are a number of rapid methods and systems for detecting microorganisms and determining their sensitivity to antibiotic drugs which are currently available (see for example 8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15). By one

type of such methods and systems the detection of the microorganisms and the determination of their sensitivity to antibiotic drugs, involves a certain initial incubation period of up to about 24 hours. In addition to the time involved, such methods and systems suffer from serious drawbacks in that they give rise to a large proportion of false positive as well as false negative results. Furthermore, these methods and systems are often not sensitive to concentrations of microorganisms below about  $10^4$  or some times even  $10^5$  microorganisms/ml. Examples of assays which belong to this group are the Autobac System (16,17), the Auto Microbic System (18,19,20), the Impedance System (21) and the Bactec System (22).

Another group of such methods do not require an initial incubation period and therefore are more rapid. However, all these methods have a serious drawback in that they do not enable the determination of sensitivity of the microorganisms to antibiotic drugs and in that, similarly as above, they have a problem of a high rate of false positive and false negative results and they are incapable of detecting microorganisms in concentrations of less than about  $10^4$ - $10^5$  microorganisms/ml. Examples of such assays are the Bac-t-Screen (19,20,23,24), the Leucocyte Esterase Activity Assay (19,23,25), the Nitrite Test (19,23,26) and the ATP Assay (26,23,27).

It should be noted that all methods described above involve the determination of a single average trait of the bacterial population, a fact giving rise to many of these method's drawbacks. A method which will test several traits on the level of single microorganismal cells has the potential of being much more sensitive in the identification and characterisation of microorganisms in a biological sample.

### OBJECTS OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

It is the object of the present invention to provide a computerized image analysis method and system by which microorganisms in a biological sample may be rapidly and reliably detected and characterised.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a computerised image analysis method and system in which microorganisms may be detected and characterised on the basis of various morphological properties which distinguish between microorganisms and other particles, as well as between different types of microorganisms.

It is a still further object of the present invention to provide such a method and system by which the sensitivity of the microorganisms to various antibiotic drugs may be assayed.

Remaining objects of the invention will become clear from the following description.

### GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a computerised image analysis method by which a specimen is placed in a microscope, the sample's image is recorded, e.g. by a video camera, a grid of photovoltaic cells or on a photographic film, digitised, possibly stored and analysed either on- or off-line. On the basis of the analysis microorganisms are identified, enumerated and characterised.

In analysing a microscopic image for the detection of microorganisms, it is first necessary to distinguish between microorganismal cells and other particulate materials of similar size which may be present in the specimen such as tissue debris, dust particles, protein and sugar aggregates etc. Very often the overall optical properties of such non-microorganismal particles as well as their shape is similar to that of certain microorganisms and therefore in using conventional image analysis techniques, a

large percentage of such object may be classified as microorganisms or alternatively, a large number of microorganisms may be characterised as being non-microorganismal objects which will thus mean either an over-count or an under-count of the microorganisms, respectively. This fact is the main cause of the failure hitherto to use image analysis techniques in microbiological assays.

As known, an object viewed under the microscope is not homogeneous in its brightness and various parts of the object may differ from one another in their brightness. This non-homogeneity results from the difference in this object's composition between different parts as well as from the object's shape. It was surprisingly found in accordance with the present invention that microorganisms differ from non-microorganismal objects in the spacial distribution of brightness in an object. A particular example is the rate or gradient of change in brightness across the object's edge (between the interior to the exterior of the object), which was found to differ significantly between microorganisms and non-microorganismal objects of the same size. The degree of change in brightness across an object's edge will be referred to hereinafter as the "Optical Slope". Another example is a parameter to be referred to hereinafter as "~~moment~~" which is a product of the light intensity at each point and of the distance of this point from the object's edge.

The present invention thus provides a computerised image analysis method for detecting microorganisms in a sample comprising placing a specimen from the sample in a microscope, recording the image viewed through the microscope by appropriate image recording means, analysing the image to identify particulate objects therein and classifying these objects as microorganisms or non-microorganismal objects, which classifying comprises determining the spacial distribution of brightness

throughout each of the objects.

By one preferred embodiment of the method of the invention said classifying comprises determining the optical slope of an object. By another preferred embodiment said classifying comprises determining the moment of an object.

The spacial distribution of brightness of microorganismal objects in general and the optical slope and the moment in particular falls within a certain range depending on various factors such as, the type of illumination and its intensity. For example, in transmitted light illumination, where a very bright or a very dim illuminating light source is being used, the general contrast in the image viewed through the microscope is smaller than where the illuminating light has a medium range and hence the moment and the optical slope of objects under bright or dim illumination are smaller than under conditions of medium range intensity illumination. Where a fluorescent dye is being used, the optical slope and the moment are essentially independent of the illuminating light intensity over a large range thereof.

The magnitude of these will also depend on the type of illumination: where an illumination yielding strong contrasts in the image is being used, such as dark field illumination, phase contrast illumination or epifluorescence, the moment and the optical slopes for example will be larger than when using illuminations which do not give a strong contrast such as standard transmitted light illumination.

In order to increase the contrast and facilitate the identification of objects in a specimen and distinguish between microorganisms and non-microorganismal objects, it is preferred to use various contrasting agents: dyes which stain living matter in particular, e.g. methylene blue, acridine organe, ethidium bromide, etc.; dyes which are capable of distinguishing between one group of



microorganisms to another, e.g. gram stain, as well as dyes and reagents which are capable of identifying specifically one group of microorganisms, such as the monoclonal antibodies, subject of Israel Patent Application No. 95140, which are specific for Enterobacteriaceae.

It should be noted that the absolute value of both the optical slope and the moment depends on the type of illumination used: where the illumination is of a kind in which particulate objects are viewed as brighter than their surroundings, such as for example, epifluorescent illumination, dark field illumination, etc., the optical slope will have a positive value (the gradient being measured from the inside out) while where the illumination is of a kind in which particulate objects seem darker than their surrounding, e.g. standard field illumination, the optical slope will have a negative value; where the illumination is of a kind in which contrasts are more pronounced, such a phase contrast or dark field illumination, the moment will be larger than in illuminations which tend to reduce contrasts such as standard, transmitted light illumination. In addition, the absolute value of both the optical slope and the moment as will be readily appreciated by a man skilled in the art, depends also on the type of lens used, on the type of microscope, on whether filters are used and the kind of filters, etc.

A person versed in the art will have no difficulties with a limited, straightforward experimentation to calibrate a system having a specific setup in order to determine the range of values of both the optical slope and the moment, which characterize a microorganism. This may be performed for example by determining, under the same conditions, the optical slope and the moment of objects in standard suspensions which contain either various non-microorganismal objects and other suspensions which contain

microorganisms.

The accuracy of the assay in accordance with the invention may be increased if various other morphological parameters for each object are also determined. Such morphological parameters include the object's length, width and area as well as the overall shape.

The term "morphological parameters" to be used at times hereinbelow denotes parameters of an object which are measured in accordance with the present invention including the optical slope, moment, length, width, and the overall shape and any other measured parameter.

By determining various morphological parameters of each object it may be characterized as either a microorganism or a non-microorganismal object on the basis of its position in a multi-dimensional parameter field, the parameters being two or more selected from the group consisting of the optical slope, moment, length, width, area, overall shape as well as any other morphological parameter. In addition to improving the accuracy in determining whether an object is a microorganism or not, the position of an object in such a multidimensional parameter field may enable further characterisation of an object as to its identity, e.g. bacteria, yeast, type of bacteria or yeast etc.

By identifying all microorganisms in one or more viewed images of one or more specimens the concentration of microorganismal cells in the sample may be determined.

The present invention also provides a method for determining the sensitivity of microorganisms in a biological sample to antibiotic drugs. For determining the sensitivity of microorganisms in a sample to such drugs, a specimen of the sample is incubated for a short period of time, e.g. 0.5-2 hour in the presence of the drug and the concentration of the microorganisms in the sample after such an incubation is compared to that of a control specimen from

the same sample incubated under the same conditions but without the antibiotic drug.

The present invention thus provides a method for determining the sensitivity of microorganisms to an antibiotic drug which comprises preparing a test mixture comprising the microorganisms and the antibiotic drug and a control mixture comprising the microorganisms, but without the antibiotic drug, incubating both mixture under the same conditions for the same amount of time and determining the concentration of the microorganismal cells in both mixtures by the computerised image analysis method of the invention.

Additionally, it was surprisingly found in accordance with the present invention that microorganisms sensitive to an antibiotic drug undergo detectable morphological changes when exposed to this drug. Morphological changes of microorganisms as a result of exposure to antibiotic drugs have been reported (27) but to date no correlation was found to exist between the morphological change and the antibiotic sensitivity (28). It is only with the present invention where for the first time microorganisms were accurately identified and various morphological parameters have been measured on the single cell level that it was found that certain morphological changes of microorganisms which occur as a result exposure to antibiotic drugs are an indication to the sensitivity of microorganisms to such drugs.

The present invention thus provides a method for determining the sensitivity of microorganisms to an antibiotic drug which comprises preparing a test mixture comprising the microorganisms and the antibiotic drug and a control mixture comprising the microorganisms, but without the antibiotic drug, incubating both mixture under the same conditions for the same amount of time, measuring the microorganisms morphological parameters and determining the sensitivity on the basis of a change in these parameters

between the two mixtures.

For determining the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotic drugs, preferably both morphological changes as well as changes in cell concentration after exposure to the drugs are determined and the degree of sensitivity of the microorganism in question to the said drug is determined on the basis of both results.

Also provided by the present invention is a system for carrying out the method in accordance with the present invention which system comprises a microscope, means for recording the image viewed through the microscope, means for digitising the recorded image and image processing means characterised in that said image processing means are adapted to determine the optical slope and/or the brightness variance of the objects recorded by said image recording means.

Preferably said image processing means are adapted also for analysing various other morphological parameters of the objects detected in the image viewed through the microscope.

The method and system of the present invention thus enable the determination of a microorganismal cell concentration as well as the characterization of microorganisms in a sample. The method and system of the present invention enable also to assess the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotic drugs by measuring both cell concentration as well as various morphological parameters with and without exposure to the antibiotic drug.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The annexed drawings serve as an illustration of the present invention. In the drawings:

Fig. 1 gives a general outline of operational steps of the initial characterisation of objects in a sample in accordance with the present invention.

Figs. 2-4 are more detailed outlines of each of the operational steps identified as blocks I-III in Fig. 1, respectively;

Fig. 5 is a graphical representation of results of cell concentrations of *E. coli* suspended in buffer, obtained by the method of the present invention (Ordinate) versus actual cell counts (Abcissca). Open squares represent individual results and open triangles average of two or three results.

Fig. 6 is a graphical representation of cell concentration in 144 milk samples determined by a standard method (Abcissca) versus cell concentrations obtained by the method in accordance with the invention (Ordinate).

Fig. 7 is a graphical representation of a comparison made between bacterial concentration in urine determined by the standard method (Ordinate) versus results obtained by the method in accordance with the present invention (Abcissca).

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the following the invention will be illustrated with reference to a non-limiting specific embodiment shown by way of block diagram in the accompanying drawings. A person versed in the art will no doubt appreciate that various modifications of this embodiment as well as other embodiments may be envisaged all being within the scope of the invention as defined above.

For performing the method in accordance with the present invention, a biological sample is first placed under the objective of a microscope and illuminated. Quite generally, any type of illumination may be used but preferred in accordance with the present invention is use such an illumination by which small particulate transparent objects such as bacteria seem brighter than their surroundings, e.g. dark field illumination, phase contract

illumination and epifluorescence, the latter being particularly preferred. (Dyes used for epifluorescence may for example, be fluorescein, isothiocyanate, acridine orange, ethidium bromide, propidium iodide, etc). It is furthermore preferred in accordance with the present invention to add various dyes which increase the contrast in the image and thus facilitate the detection of small objects in the sample. Particularly preferred are dyes which are capable of staining living matter, thus facilitating the distinction between cells and other particulate objects, and especially dyes which are capable of staining specifically microorganisms. Most preferred for use in the method of the present invention are dyes which are capable of staining a specific group of microorganisms, such as the *Enterobacteriaceae* antibodies disclosed in the copending Israel Application No. 94140.

It is preferred to flatten and level a sample to be tested so as to be capable of focussing on all objects in a field of view at the same time.

The illuminated and optionally stained sample, is recorded by appropriate image recording means which may, for example, be a video camera an array of photovoltaic cells, a video camera being particularly preferred for the simplicity of its use (including the relative simplicity of the electronic circuit required for recording the image) and its relatively low price. The image is recorded by said image recording means as a multitude of picture elements (pixels), and the brightness in each of said pixels is measured and digitised.

The so-measured and digitised images are then processed as shown generally by way of a very simplified block diagram in Fig. 1. At the first stage I the pixels which form part of object are identified and objects are tagged. Thereafter, at a next stage II, the objects identity, namely whether it is a microorganism or a non-

microorganismal object, is determined in accordance with the present invention and then at a final stage III, various morphological parameters of the objects which were identified as microorganisms are determined. Each of the method stages I to III in Fig. 1 are described in somewhat more details hereinbelow with reference to Figs. 2-4, respectively.

Reference is made now to Fig. 2 which describes the manner in which objects are identified and tagged. At the first stage the brightness level in each one of the pixels,  $I(x,y)$  [ $I(x,y)$  - the brightness level of a pixel having certain  $x,y$  coordinates] is measured and therefrom the average brightness of the entire field of view,  $I_{av}$ , and the standard deviation of the brightness,  $\sigma$ , are determined.  $I_{av}$  and  $\sigma$  are used in the next stage to compute the threshold brightness level,  $I_{th}$ , which is a brightness level used as a reference level in the following stages.  $I_{th}$  may be computed as a brightness level higher by a certain amount of standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ), e.g. three in the embodiment shown in Fig. 2, from  $I_{av}$ .

Alternatively, in a precalibrated system the threshold brightness level,  $I_{th}$ , may also be a predetermined constant.

Local maxima, which are pixels the brightness of which is stronger than their eight neighbours, are then identified. The local maxima,  $I_{lm}$ , are compared to  $I_{th}$ , those being smaller than  $I_{th}$  are discarded while those being larger than  $I_{th}$  are tagged. A brightness level above which an adjacent pixel is considered as belonging to the same object as a tagged pixel,  $I_{obj}$ , is determined,  $I_{obj}$  being a certain fraction of  $I_{lm}$ , 3/5 in the particular embodiment shown in Figure 3. Then, each pixel which is touching a tagged pixel, and which has a brightness level that is larger or equal to  $I_{obj}$  is being tagged and eventually this brings about tagging of all the relevant

pixels touching either the local maximum pixel or other tagged pixels. All tagged pixels touching one another are then considered as one object.

By repeating this operation with respect to all the local maxima in the viewed image, all objects are being tagged.

Local maxima which are close to one another, e.g. separated from one another by one or two pixels and the tagged pixels associated therewith are interpreted as belonging to a corresponding number of objects.

The objects identified as above consist of microorganisms as well as non-microorganismal objects such as dust particles, aggregates of macromolecules, cell debris and the like. The present invention is characterised by the manner in which the non-microorganismal objects and microorganisms are distinguished from one another. An example of the manner in which this is being carried out is described in Figure 3.

As mentioned above, it was found in accordance with the present invention that an object may be characterised as a microorganism on the basis of its optical slope, as defined above. If the optical slope falls within a certain predetermined range, which depends to a large extent on the type of illumination and the light intensity, the object is classified as a microorganism, and where the optical slope is either larger or smaller than this range, the object is classified as a non-microorganismal object.

The optical slope may be determined by a number of ways and the specific embodiment shown in Figure 3, is an example only.

For determining the optical slope in accordance with this embodiment, at first stage the average brightness of pixels at the edge of an object, (namely tagged pixels of an object which touch untagged pixels and also the average brightness of all untagged pixels (which are thus not part



of the object), but which touch the aforementioned tagged pixels are determined and corresponding values (a) and (b) are obtained. The optical slope is then determined as a function of both (a) and (b), e.g. by computing the difference between (a) and (b) or the ratio of (a) to (b) and the like. The value of this function,  $f_{(a,b)}$ , is compared to certain predetermined values K1 and K2 and if the object falls within these values it is considered as a microorganism, while where  $f_{(a,b)}$  is above or below this range, the object is considered as a non-microorganismal object.

The values K1 and K2 may be determined experimentally by testing known samples containing either various kinds of microorganisms or various kinds of non-microorganismal objects.

For example, using the parameters of the experiment which are described below it was found that where  $f_{(a,b)}$  was calculated by the difference between (a) and (b) [ $f_{(a,b)} = a-b$ ], the values of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  where 10 and 1.6 (namely where  $f_{(a,b)}$  was between 1.6 and 10 the object was a microorganism) and where  $f_{(a,b)}$  was calculated by the ratio of (a) to (b) [ $f_{(a,b)} = a/b$ ], the values of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  where 100 and 25, respectively.

In addition to the optical slope, also other parameters of an object may be determined. Such parameters are for example, the moment, length, width area and overall shape. Such parameters may serve for a better characterisation of an object as a microorganism or a non-microorganismal object on the basis of its position in a multidimensional parameter field. Such position of an object enables also a further characterisation of a microorganism as to its identity.

Determination of the object's length, width and area may be performed as known per se and this will thus not be elaborated herein.

Reference is now made to Fig. 4 which shows, by way of example, one embodiment for the determination of an object's overall shape, which is referred to herein as the "roundness". The roundness is a measure of how close the object's shape is to a circle.

At first stage the average X coordinate  $X_{av}$ , and the average Y coordinate of an object,  $Y_{av}$ , are determined and the coordinates of the object's centre,  $(X_{av}, Y_{av})$ , are calculated therefrom. Then the distance,  $r_i$ , of each tagged pixel of an object to  $(X_{av}, Y_{av})$  is calculated, the smallest  $r_i$ ,  $r_{min}$ , and the largest,  $r_{max}$ , are determined and the roundness,  $R$ , is then calculated from the difference of  $r_{max}$  to  $r_{min}$  divided by the object's length,  $L$  [ $R = (r_{max} - r_{min})/L$ ] an  $R$  of 0 denotes a spherical object while an  $R$  close to 0.5 denotes a rod-like object.

A particularly useful parameter in characterising an object as a microorganism and in further characterisation of microorganism's properties is the moment. It was found in accordance with the present invention that the moment of microorganisms differs from that of non-microorganismal objects. The moment may be used by itself for characterizing an object as a microorganism or a non-microorganismal object, or preferably in combination with other parameters mentioned above. In order to characterize an object as a microorganism, the moment of an object has to be within a certain range which is determined experimentally.

The moment ( $M$ ) may be determined by many ways. By one such way, which is given herein as an example only, the moment is determined in accordance with the following formula:

$$M_{(object)} = \sum [r^2_{(x,y)} * I_{(x,y)}]$$

wherein,

$r(x,y)$  is the distance of pixel  $(x,y)$  from the object's centre  $(x_{av}, y_{av})$ ;

$I(x,y)$  is the brightness of each pixel  $(x,y)$ .

As will be appreciated by the artisan, the moment may also be determined by other various other functions of both position and light intensity than the one given herein.

The uniqueness of the method of the present invention is in that in contrast to prior art methods, it is performed by determining properties of individual objects, from which representative values for the entire population (an average and a standard deviation for each one) may be determined. These representative values are characteristic features of a microorganismal population and may at times be used to identify the exact type of microorganisms in a sample. Furthermore, by counting the number of microorganisms identified in an image or in several images of the same sample, the concentration of the microorganisms may be obtained.

The present invention also provides a method for determining the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotic drugs. As known, the proliferation of microorganisms is inhibited when exposed to an antibiotic drug to which they are sensitive. Thus, by exposing a specimen of a sample to an antibiotic drug and comparing the cell concentration after such exposure to the concentration of the cells in a control specimen incubated under the same conditions but without the drug, the sensitivity of the microorganisms in the sample to the drug may be assessed. However, in contrast to the prior art method by which a long incubation period was as a rule required, e.g. in the range of 24-36 hours, in accordance with the method of the present invention the required incubation is much shorter, e.g. in a range of 0.5-2 hours. The short incubation period is due to the fact that since individual microorganisms are counted, even a small

change in their concentration, which is undetectable by prior art methods, may be identified.

In accordance with the present invention it was surprisingly found that exposure of microorganisms to an antibiotic drug to which they are sensitive, results in morphological changes thereof which are detectable by the method of the invention. Additionally, the occurrence of such changes indicates the sensitivity of a microorganism to the drug which caused the change. In other words, there exists a strong correlation between morphological changes detectable by the method of the invention and the sensitivity of the microorganisms to the drug which causes the change. These morphological changes are particularly apparent when measuring the microorganisms roundness and moment.

Thus, the present invention provides a method for determining the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotic drugs comprising incubating the microorganisms for a short period of time, e.g. 0.5-2.0 hours, with an antibiotic drug and determining the degree of change in microorganisms' morphological parameters, in particular the microorganisms roundness and momentum, but also other morphological parameters such as length, width, area and optical slope.

In order to determine the sensitivity of microorganism to antibiotic drugs in accordance with the present invention, a specimen containing the tested microorganism is incubated for a short period of time, e.g. 0.5-2.0 hours, and a control specimen is incubated for the same period of time under the same conditions but without the antibiotic drug. The various properties of the culture, namely the cell concentration and the various morphological parameters are determined both in the tested and in the control specimen and the change in each parameter is determined, e.g. by determining the ratio between the value after exposure to antibiotic drugs (B) to the value of the

same parameter without such exposure (A).

Examples of such ratios as measured in accordance with the invention are shown in the following Table I (A:B):

TABLE I				
Antibiotic	<u>Length</u>	<u>Parameter</u>		
		<u>Area</u>	<u>Moment</u>	<u>Optical Slope</u>
Ampicillin	2.5	4	12	0.5
Spelatine	2	2	4	0.5
Sulfur	1.5	1.8	2.5	0.5

Each such ratio is then given a score according to its value, e.g. between 0-100. Each of the scores is given a certain weight and thus a weighted average may be calculated. Suitably the score for the change in the microorganism's concentration is given a weight of about 0.4-0.6 and the score for the change in the various morphological parameters is given the rest, e.g. an equal weight to each morphological parameter. This weighted average gives a measure of the microorganisms' sensitivity to the tested antibiotic drug, namely whether the microorganism is sensitive, resistant or intermediate.

Unlike prior art methods of measuring sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotic drugs, the method in accordance with the present invention may be employed on the original withdrawn biological sample, without any prior incubation stage, even if such a sample contains several kinds of microorganisms. By determining the position of each kind of microorganism in a multidimensional parameter field, the microorganisms may be classified into different populations on the basis of their position in such a multidimensional parameter field and then the change in position as well as in concentration of each population after exposure to an antibiotic drug may be determined.

Thereby the antibiotic drug sensitivity of each population within the sample may be determined in a single step.

#### DESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

The present invention will now be illustrated with reference to some non-limiting specific Examples.

#### Experimental Procedures

##### I) Bacterial Preparations

The following bacterial strains were tested:

a) Four standard ATCC strains, *E. coli* 25922; *P. aeruginosa* 27853; *S. faecalis* 29212; *S. aureus* 29213 as recommended by NCCLS, (NCCLS document M7-T2 vol. 8, No. 8, 1988).

b) Strains isolated from urine samples obtained from the bacteriological laboratory of The Sheba Medical Centre and The Zamenhoff Central Laboratory of the General Sick Fund of Israel (Kupat Holim). These strains were isolated and identified in the laboratory using standard procedures as described in the Manual of Clinical Microbiology, 4th edition, 1985. An attempt was made to include the most abundant classes of urinary pathogens. Tested strains included *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* sp. *Proteus* sp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter*.

All strains were stored on small glass beads (for reference see Applied Microbiology, Vol. 23, p. 837, 1972) and stored at -20°C. Cultures were initiated by inoculating one bead extracted with sterile tweezers in sterile hood into Muller-Hinton (MH) broth.

Additionally, clinical urine samples and milk samples were also tested.

##### II) Performing a cell count in accordance with the Standard method

Preparations were serially diluted and then seeded on agarose plates and after 24 hrs of incubation colonies

which developed on the agarose were counted.

III) Assaying Bacterial Samples in accordance with the Present Invention

1 ml from a bacterial preparation was transferred into an Eppendorf tube and 20µl of the nucleic acid binding stain propidium iodide (PI) was added to a final concentration of 50µg/ml and growth of the microorganisms was arrested by heating to 95°C for 5 minutes.

3µl of each of the stained bacterial samples were layered on a microscope slide covered with 18x18mm cover slide and sealed with nail polish (Pavon clear No. 401).

Slides were then measured using a video-microscopy system in accordance with the present invention. Briefly, a video camera (RCA model 100SU) mounted on an epifluorescent illumination microscope (Olympus Model BH-2) views the sample through a 60x objective and the image is transferred to a microcomputer through an image grabbing printed circuit board. The picture was divided into pixels each one corresponding to a picture area of 0.2 x 0.3µm in size. The light intensity level in each of the pixels was measured and digitised as a value between 0-255. Each slide was scanned by randomly choosing a number of fields (refocusing manually for each field) and the series of images were stored in the computer memory until at least 50 objects with fluorescent values above a predetermined threshold were collected.

The files stored for each sample were copied onto floppy discs and were then analysed off line as follows:

The threshold intensity was determined as 50, (from the overall scale of 0-255), and then tagging the objects, and determining their various morphological parameters was carried out as described above with reference to Figures 1-4. The following parameters were measured for each object, the number against each parameter shows the

range of values thereof in which an object was considered a microorganism (all others were considered as non-microorganismal objects).

Light intensity: 50-250.  
Object length: 2-15 pixels  
Object width: 2-4 pixels  
Optical slope: 1:10-1:2  
Roundness: 0-0.5  
Moment: 10-100

IV) Determination of the antibiotic's sensitivity on the basis of the change in a morphological parameter and change of cell count of the microorganisms.

Bacteria were grown overnight in MH medium and then the preparations were diluted 1000 fold into a fresh MH medium and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. The culture was then diluted to a final concentration of  $1 \times 10^7$ /ml and 1ml of this cell suspension was added into a series of tubes containing 1ml of MH medium with a tested antibiotic drug. Three different concentrations of each drug were tested. Three tubes with no drugs served as control: one in which growth was arrested at  $t=0$  and two which were incubated concurrently with the drug containing tubes for 120 min. After 120 minutes (and at  $t=0$  for one control), 1 ml from each of these tubes was transferred into an Eppendorf tube and then stained with PI and growth was arrested as described above.

In order to assess antibiotic sensitivity each of the above described four morphological parameters was determined both in the tested sample as well as in the control sample and the determination of the degree of sensitivity was carried out as follows:



- A) Each morphological parameter was determined for each bacterium identified in the sample.
- B) An average for each morphological parameter for all the bacteria in the sample was calculated.
- C) The ratio between the average after exposure to antibiotics with the average obtained without exposure to antibiotics was calculated.

If this ratio was larger or smaller (depending on the measured parameters) than a certain first boundary level which was determined specifically for each parameter, it received a score of 100. If this ratio was below or above (again depending on the measured parameters) a certain second boundary (which was also defined for each parameter) it received a score of 0. If the ratio was between these two boundaries, it was given a score between 0-100, linearly dependent on its value between the two boundaries.

The boundaries defined for each of the ratio (listed according to the relevant parameters) is shown in the following Table II:

TABLE II

PARAMETER	SECOND BOUNDARY (Score of 0)	FIRST BOUNDARY (Score of 100)
Length	1.0	2.0
Width	1.0	1.5
Optical Slope	1.0	0.75
Moment	1.0	4.0
Roundness	1.0	2.0
Area	1.0	2.0

In addition to comparing the morphological parameters between the treated and the control sample as above, also the number of bacteria detected in the 50

arbitrarily chosen fields as described above was compared between the control and the tested sample. The ratio between the numbers in the two cases was determined (treated:control), the minimal boundary was determined at 0.5 and the upper as 0.25. A value between these two boundaries was given a score between 0-100 similarly as above.

Each of the above five scores, namely the four morphological scores and the one corresponding to the change in number was weighted in the following manner: the score of the change in bacterial concentration was given a weighing factor of 0.4 and each of the morphological scores a weighing factor of 0.1. In this manner a weighted average was obtained. The bacteria were considered sensitive if the final weighted average score was 50-100 and were considered resistant if the score was 0-35. The other scores were considered as intermediates.

In order to determine the reproducibility of the method, the standard strains *E. coli* 25922 and *P. aeruginosa* 27853 were each tested at least 3 times for each of the 5 drugs used in this study. The three tests were carried out on different days each time with a new bacterial preparation in order to avoid bias, two different technicians carried out these experiments which were divided randomly between the two.

#### V) The standard antimicrobial susceptibility Assay.

The broth dilution antimicrobial susceptibility test was employed as detailed in NCCLS document M7-T2, Vol. 8 No. 8 (1988). Both macro-dilution and micro-dilution assays were carried out in parallel for each tested microorganism. Mueller-Hinton (MH) (Difco) was used throughout the test. The standard *E. coli* ATCC 25922 strain was used as an internal control for each test.

Classification of each strain as either resistant (R), sensitive (S) or intermediate (I) was based on comparing the MIC results obtained with the MIC interpretive standards set forth by NCCLS.

EXAMPLE 1: Obtaining a cell count by the method of the present invention

Bacterial cells were suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) in various concentrations of cell/ml. A sample of each suspension was then prepared for microscopy and tested in accordance with the method of the present invention as described in detail above. For some concentrations two or three repetitions were made. The results are shown in Fig. 5 in which the abscissa gives actual cell numbers while the ordinate gives cell counts, as determined in accordance with the method of the invention. (open squares show individual results and open triangles average of the individual results at the same cell concentration).

It may be seen that although the measured cell counts slightly deviate from the actual cell number at higher concentrations, the overall agreement between actual and measured cell counts is remarkable.

It should be noted that the deviation may be easily compensated by a correction factor for higher cell counts.

EXAMPLE 2: Determining cell counts in milk

144 fresh milk samples were tested to determine cell counts both by the method of the present invention and by the standard plating method described above. The results obtained are shown in Figure 6 (abscissa-cell count obtained by the standard method; ordinate cell count-obtained by the method of the present invention).

As can be seen both cell counts are in excellent agreement with one another.

EXAMPLE 3: Comparison of cell counts in clinical sample obtained by a standard method versus the method in accordance with the present invention.

Cell counts in 24 urine samples obtained from various individuals were determined both by standard methods and by the method of the present invention.

A graphical representation of the cell count obtained by the method of the invention versus a cell count obtained by the standard plating method is shown in Fig. 7 (abscissa - cell count in accordance with the invention; ordinate - cell count by the standard method).

Again it may be seen that the results of both methods are in excellent agreement with one another.

EXAMPLE 4: Determination of the susceptibility of bacteria to antibiotic drugs

A) Comparison of the method in accordance with the present invention with standard prior art method for determining antibiotic sensitivity.

Various bacterial strains, both standard ATCC strains as well as such isolated by the inventor from clinical samples were tested for sensitivity to 5 common antibiotic drugs, both by the method of the present invention as well as by the standard broth dilution method.

The results obtained with various antibiotic drugs are summarised in the following Tables III-VII (each Table represents an experiment with a different antibiotic drug).

TABLE III  
Cephalothin

BACT.	BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		
			Std.	Inv.	
ATCC	E. coli	10	S	S	39
E165	E. coli	10	S	S	100
8227	E. coli	10	S	S	40
8492	E. coli	10	S	S	100
8494	E. coli	3	S	S	40
A8562	E. coli	>64	R	R	0
K110	Klebsiella	>100	R	R	2
KATCC	Klebsiella	10	S	S	98
M197	Proteus	3	S	S	71
V188	Proteus	10	S	S	47
3766	Proteus	>100	R	S	100
3728	Proteus	>100	R	R	0
P138	Pseudomonas	>100	R	R	10
PATCC	Pseudomonas	>32	R	R	5

BACT - Inventor's Bacteria Designation

MICS - Minimal inhibitory concentration (in µg/ml) obtained by the standard broth dilution method.

Std. - Standard method

Inv. - Method of the invention

R - Resistant; S - Sensitive

Score - Score obtained by the method of the invention.

TABLE IV  
(Ampicillin)

BACT.	BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		SCORE
			Std.	Inv.	
All17	Enterob	>32	R	R	7
ATCC	E. coli	4	S	S	90
ATCC	E. coli	4	S	S	94
ATCC	E. coli	4	S	S	75
E119	E. coli	>32	R	R	0
E128	E. coli	4	S	S	85
E148	E. coli	>32	R	R	0
E163	E. coli	4	S	S	98
E165	E. coli	4	S	S	100
K110	Klebsiella	10	S	S	100
KATCC	Klebsiella	>100	R	R	14
P3480	Proteus	>100	R	R	3
P3499	Proteus	>100	R	R	1
P3719	Proteus	1	S	S	100
P3728	Proteus	1	S	S	100

See Footnote Table III

TABLE V  
(Nalidixic acid)

BACT.	BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		SCORE
			Std.	Inv.	
ATCC	E. coli	2	S	S	95
E1134	E. coli	>64	R	R	13
E128	E. coli	4	S	S	100
E165	E. coli	8	S	S	100
E184	E. coli	8	S	S	86
E185	E. coli	8	S	S	100
E904	E. coli	>64	R	R	2
E956	E. coli	>64	R	R	0
KATCC	Klebsiella	2	S	S	99
K110	Klebsiella	8	S	S	49
3766	Proteus	8	S	S	60
3780	Proteus	>64	R	R	12

See Footnote Table III

TABLE VI  
(Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole)

BACT.	BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY Std. Inv.		SCORE
E165	E. coli	>32	R	R	1
E2222	E. coli	0.3	S	S	100
E3319	E. coli	3	S	S	55
E3347	E. coli	>100	R	R	3
E3347	E. coli	>32	R	R	3
E163	E. coli	1	S	S	86
EATCC	E. coli	0.5	S	S	84
EATCC	E. coli	0.5	S	S	99
K110	Klebsiella	10	S	S	68
KATCC	Klebsiella	3	S	S	100
M197	Proteus	3	S	S	97
V188	Proteus	1	S	S	51
3766	Proteus	>100	R	R	4
3480	Proteus	>100	R	R	26
3499	Proteus	3	S	S	100
P138	Pseudomonas	>32	R	R	0
PATCC	Pseudomonas	>32	R	R	2

See Footnote Table III



TABLE VII  
(Gentamicin)

BACT.	BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		SCORE
			Std.	Inv.	
A8562	Abacter	>100	R	R	0
ATCC	E. coli	1	S	S	73
E163	E. coli	0.5	S	S	67
E185	E. coli	1	S	S	87
K110	Klebsiella	1-4	S	R	17
KATCC	Klebsiella	0.5	S	S	80
V188	Proteus	1	S	S	67
P3601	Pseudomonas	>100	R	R	2
PATCC	Pseudomonas	1	S	S	60

---

See Footnote Table III

B) Reproducibility Experiments

In order to evaluate the degree of reproducibility of the method in accordance with the present invention two standard NCCLS recommended strains *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 27853 were tested for each of the five drugs tested in A above. Each test with each strain was repeated three times, independent repeat performed on different days by different laboratory technicians. The results are shown in the following Tables VIII-XI.

TABLE VIII  
(Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole)

BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		SCORE
		Std.	Inv.	
<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	S	S	99
<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	S	S	81
<i>E. coli</i>	0.5	S	S	61
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	>32	R	R	2
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	>32	R	R	1
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	>32	R	R	0

See Footnote Table III

TABLE IX  
(Ampicillin)

BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		SCORE
		Std.	Inv.	
E. coli	4	S	S	90
E. coli	4	S	S	94
E. coli	4	S	S	75
E. coli	4	S	S	90
E. coli	4	S	S	98
E. coli	4	S	S	100

See Footnote Table III

TABLE X  
(Gentamicin)

BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		SCORE
		Std.	Inv.	
E. coli	1	S	S	73
E. coli	1	S	S	100
E. coli	1	S	S	100
Pseudomonas	1	S	S	60
Pseudomonas	1	S	S	60
Pseudomonas	1	S	S	60

See Footnote Table III

TABLE XI  
(Nalidixic Acid)

BACTERIA	MICS	SENSITIVITY		SCORE
		Std.	Inv.	
E. coli	2	S	S	95
E. coli	2	S	S	100
E. coli	2	S	S	81
Pseudomonas	>128	R	R	2
Pseudomonas	>128	R	R	2
Pseudomonas	>128	R	R	4

See Footnote Table III

As can be seen in this Example, the method in accordance with the present invention classified the standard strains correctly as susceptible or resistant in almost all cases. In 96% (49/51) of the cases in which urinary isolates were examined, the method of the present invention yielded results identical with those obtained by the standard method (microdilution and macrodilution which were performed in parallel on each sample) in terms of susceptibility or resistance. 4% (2/51 of the cases) were determined incorrectly by the method of the present invention as compared to the standard method: one case was falsely determined as sensitive and one case falsely determined as resistant.

As described above, the results were obtained after 2 hours of incubation with the antibiotic drug. However, the incubation period may be considerably reduced.

## LIST OF REFERENCES

1. Isenberg et al, 1985. In: "Manual of Clinical Microbiology", Edwin H. Lennette, Albert Balows, William J. Hausler, J.R., M. Jean Shadomy, (eds.) 4th Ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C. p: 73-98.
2. Washington et al., Ibid, p. 967-987.
3. Memorandum from a WHO Meeting. 1983. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 61 (3): 423-433.
4. Pezzlo et al., 1982. J. Clin. Microbiol. 15: 468-474.
5. Bates 1982. Lab. Manag. 20:7-13.
6. Donta et al., 1981. N. England J. Med. 304: 939-943.
7. Kass 1978. J. Infect. Dis. 138: 546-557.
8. D'Amato et al., 1985. In: Manual of Clinical Microbiology. Leunette C.H., Balows A., Hauslower W.J. Jr., Shadomy H.J. (eds.). American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C., pp. 52-65.
9. Thornsberg et al., 1985. Ibid., pp. 1015-1018.
10. Baird-Parker 1989. In: Rapid Methods and Automation in Microbiology and Immunology. Brixia Academic Press, Brescia, Italy, 276-281. Balows A., Tilton R.C., Turano A. (eds.),
11. Isenberg, 1989. Ibid, 320-326.

12. Vincent et al., 1989. Ibid, 326-332.
13. Sanbolle et al., 1989. Ibid, 333-341.
14. Thabaut 1989. Ibid, 342-352.
15. Johnston, 1989. Ibid, 353-359.
16. Kelly et al., 1981. J. Clin. Microbiol. 13:677-680.
17. Hale et al., 1981. J. Clin. Microbiol. 13:147-150.
18. Aldrige et al., 1977. J. Clin. Microbiol. 6: 406-413.
19. Pfaller, 1985. J. Clin. Microbiol. 21: 783-787.
20. Bixler-Forell et al. 1985. J. Clin. Microbiol. 22:62-67.
21. Cady et al., 1978. J. Clin. Microbiol. 7:273-278.
22. Morgan et al., 1983. J. Clin. Microbiol. 18: 384-388.
23. Wu et al., 1985. J. Clin. Microbiol. 21:796-799.
24. Wallis et al., 1981. J. Clin. Microbiol. 14:342-346.
25. Perry et al., 1982., J. Clin. Microbiol. 15:852-854.

26. Marr et al. 1975. Am. J. Dis. Child. 129:940-943.
27. Atkinson et al., 1984. In: Antimicrobial Therapy, A.M. Ristuccia and B.A. Cunha (eds.) Rowen Press, New York, pp. 23-36.
28. Greenwood, 1985. In: Rapid Methods and Automation in Microbiology and Immunology, K.O. Habermehl (ed.), Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 479-509.



CLAIMS

1. A computerised image analysis method for detecting microorganisms in a sample comprising placing a specimen from the sample in a microscope, recording the image viewed through the microscope by appropriate image recording means, analysing the image to identify particulate objects therein and classifying these objects as microorganisms or non-microorganismal objects, which classifying comprises determining the spacial distribution of brightness throughout each of the objects.

2. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said classifying comprises determining the optical slope being the change in brightness across the edge of the object.

3. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said classifying comprises determining the moment being a product of the light intensity of each point in the object and its distance from the object's centre.

4. A method according to Claim 2, wherein the classification comprises establishing whether said optical slope falls within a certain range, an object which is classified as a microorganism having said optical slope within said range.

5. A method according to Claim 3, wherein the classification comprises establishing whether said moment falls within a certain range, an object which is classified as a microorganism having said brightness variance within said range.

6. A method according to any one of Claims 1 to 5, wherein the classification of an object as a microorganism comprises also the determination of the object's length,

width, area and its overall shape.

7. A method according to Claim 6, wherein the characterization of the object as a microorganism is based on its position in a multidimensional parameter field, the parameters being two or more of the group consisting of said optical slope, said brightness variances, length, width and overall shape.

8. A method according to Claim 7, wherein the object's position in said multidimensional parameter field is used to further characterise the type of the microorganism.

9. A method according to any one of Claims 1 to 8, comprising determining the concentration of microorganisms in a sample.

10. A method for determining the sensitivity of microorganisms to an antibiotic drug which comprises preparing a test mixture comprising the microorganisms and the antibiotic drugs and a control mixture comprising the microorganisms, but without the antibiotic drug, incubating both mixtures under the same conditions for the same amount of time and determining the concentration of the microorganismal cells after the incubation in both mixtures characterised in that the concentration of the microorganismal cells is determined by the method of Claim 9.

11. A method for determining the sensitivity of microorganisms to an antibiotic drug which comprises preparing a test mixture comprising the microorganisms and the antibiotic drugs and a control mixture comprising the microorganisms, but without the antibiotic drug, incubating

both mixtures under the same conditions for the same amount of time, measuring the microorganisms' morphological parameters and determining the sensitivity on the basis of a change in these parameters between the two mixtures.

12. A method according to Claim 11, wherein the determination of the microorganisms' morphological parameters is performed by the method according to any one of Claims 1 to 8.

13. A method according to Claims 11 or 12, wherein said morphological parameters are selected from the group consisting of the object's length, width, area, overall shape, moment and optical slope.

14. A method according to Claim 10, comprising determining the change in the microorganisms' morphological parameters between the test and the control mixtures.

15. A method according to Claim 14, wherein said parameters are selected from the group consisting of the object's length, width, area, overall shape, optical slope and moment.

16. A system for carrying out the method according to any one of Claims 1 to 15, which comprises a microscope, means for recording the image viewed through the microscope, means for digitising the recorded image and image processing means, characterised in that said image processing means are adapted to determine the optical slope and/or moment of the objects recorded by said image recording means.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5






FIG. 6




FIG. 7

**International Application No**

<b>I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) <sup>6</sup>		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int.Cl. 5 G01N15/14		
<b>II. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>		
Minimum Documentation Searched <sup>7</sup>		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
Int.Cl. 5	G01N	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched <sup>8</sup>		
<b>III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT<sup>9</sup></b>		
Category <sup>o</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>
X	APPLIED OPTICS vol. 26, no. 16, 15 August 1987, NEW YORK US pages 3280 - 3293 J.W. BACUS 'Optical microscope system for standardized cell measurements and analyses' see abstract see page 3282, right column, lines 1 - 15 see page 3284, left column, lines 23 - 29 see page 3288, left column, last line - right column, line 7 see tables I - III, including note a see figures 15, 16, including captions	1,6-8
Y	---	1,9,10, 14,15
<p><sup>o</sup> Special categories of cited documents :<sup>10</sup></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</li> <li>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</li> <li>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</li> <li>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</li> <li>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</li> <li>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</li> <li>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</li> <li>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>		
<b>IV. CERTIFICATION</b>		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report	
23 JULY 1993	- 6 AOUT 1993	
International Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer	
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE	THOMAS R.M.	

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category *	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	JOURNAL OF HISTOCHEMISTRY AND CYTOCHEMISTRY vol. 34, no. 1, January 1986, NEW YORK US pages 67 - 74 K. PRESTON 'High resolution image analysis' see page 73, paragraph 2 -----	1,10,11